

A parallel between classical computability theory and effective definability in abstract structures

The last paper of Ivan Soskov

Alexandra A. Soskova ¹

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science
Sofia University

September 2014

¹Supported by Sofia University Science Fund, contract 97/2014

A parallel between classical computability theory and effective definability in abstract structures

A close parallel between notions of classical computability theory and of the theory of effective definability in abstract structures:

- 1 The notion of “c.e. in” corresponds to the notion of Σ_1 definability;
- 2 The “ Σ_{n+1}^0 in” sets correspond to the sets definable by means of computable infinitary Σ_{n+1} formulae.

Enumeration reducibility

- 1 A set X is *c.e. in* a set Y if X can be enumerated by a computable in Y function.
- 2 A set X is enumeration reducible to a set Y if and only if there is an effective procedure to transform an enumeration of Y to an enumeration of X .

Enumeration reducibility

- 1 A set X is *c.e. in* a set Y if X can be enumerated by a computable in Y function.
- 2 A set X is enumeration reducible to a set Y if and only if there is an effective procedure to transform an enumeration of Y to an enumeration of X .

Proposition

X is *c.e. in* Y if and only if $X \leq_e Y \oplus \bar{Y} = Y^+$.

Enumeration reducibility

- 1 A set X is *c.e. in* a set Y if X can be enumerated by a computable in Y function.
- 2 A set X is enumeration reducible to a set Y if and only if there is an effective procedure to transform an enumeration of Y to an enumeration of X .

Proposition

X is *c.e. in* Y if and only if $X \leq_e Y \oplus \bar{Y} = Y^+$.

Given a set A can we find a set M such that $X \leq_e A$ if and only if X is *c.e. in* M ?

Enumeration reducibility

- 1 A set X is *c.e. in* a set Y if X can be enumerated by a computable in Y function.
- 2 A set X is enumeration reducible to a set Y if and only if there is an effective procedure to transform an enumeration of Y to an enumeration of X .

Proposition

X is *c.e. in* Y if and only if $X \leq_e Y \oplus \bar{Y} = Y^+$.

Given a set A can we find a set M such that $X \leq_e A$ if and only if X is *c.e. in* M ?

There are sets A which are not enumeration equivalent to any set of the form $M \oplus \bar{M}$, so the answer is “No”.

Abstract structures

Let $\mathfrak{A} = (A; R_1, \dots, R_k)$ be a countable abstract structure.

- An enumeration f of \mathfrak{A} is a bijection from \mathbb{N} onto A .
- $f^{-1}(X) = \{\langle x_1 \dots x_a \rangle : (f(x_1), \dots, f(x_a)) \in X\}$ for any $X \subseteq A^a$.
- $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}) = f^{-1}(R_1) \oplus \dots \oplus f^{-1}(R_k)$ computes the positive atomic diagram of an isomorphic copy of \mathfrak{A} .

Definition

A set $X \subseteq A$ is relatively intrinsically c.e. in \mathfrak{A} (X c.e. in \mathfrak{A}) if for every enumeration f of \mathfrak{A} we have that $f^{-1}(X)$ is c.e. in $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A})$.

Abstract structures

Let $\mathfrak{A} = (A; R_1, \dots, R_k)$ be a countable abstract structure.

- An enumeration f of \mathfrak{A} is a bijection from \mathbb{N} onto A .
- $f^{-1}(X) = \{\langle x_1 \dots x_a \rangle : (f(x_1), \dots, f(x_a)) \in X\}$ for any $X \subseteq A^a$.
- $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}) = f^{-1}(R_1) \oplus \dots \oplus f^{-1}(R_k)$ computes the positive atomic diagram of an isomorphic copy of \mathfrak{A} .

Definition

A set $X \subseteq A$ is relatively intrinsically c.e. in \mathfrak{A} (X c.e. in \mathfrak{A}) if for every enumeration f of \mathfrak{A} we have that $f^{-1}(X)$ is c.e. in $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A})$.

By Ash, Knight, Manasse, Slaman and independantly Chisholm we have that X is c.e. in \mathfrak{A} if and only if X is definable in \mathfrak{A} by means of a computable infinitary Σ_1 formula with parameters.

Relatively intrinsically enumeration reducible

Definition

A set $X \subseteq A$ is (relatively intrinsically) enumeration reducible to \mathfrak{A} ($X \leq_e \mathfrak{A}$) if for every enumeration f of \mathfrak{A} , $f^{-1}(X) \leq_e f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A})$.

Relatively intrinsically enumeration reducible

Definition

A set $X \subseteq A$ is (relatively intrinsically) enumeration reducible to \mathfrak{A} ($X \leq_e \mathfrak{A}$) if for every enumeration f of \mathfrak{A} , $f^{-1}(X) \leq_e f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A})$.

$X \leq_e \mathfrak{A}$ if and only if X is definable in \mathfrak{A} by means of a positive computable infinitary Σ_1 formula with parameters.

Relatively intrinsically enumeration reducible

Definition

A set $X \subseteq A$ is (relatively intrinsically) enumeration reducible to \mathfrak{A} ($X \leq_e \mathfrak{A}$) if for every enumeration f of \mathfrak{A} , $f^{-1}(X) \leq_e f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A})$.

$X \leq_e \mathfrak{A}$ if and only if X is definable in \mathfrak{A} by means of a positive computable infinitary Σ_1 formula with parameters.

Given a structure $\mathfrak{A} = (A; R_1, \dots, R_n)$ let $\mathfrak{A}^+ = (A; R_1, \overline{R_1}, \dots, R_n, \overline{R_n})$.

Proposition

For every $X \subseteq A$, X c.e. in \mathfrak{A} if and only if $X \leq_e \mathfrak{A}^+$.

Relatively intrinsically enumeration reducible

Definition

A set $X \subseteq A$ is (relatively intrinsically) enumeration reducible to \mathfrak{A} ($X \leq_e \mathfrak{A}$) if for every enumeration f of \mathfrak{A} , $f^{-1}(X) \leq_e f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A})$.

$X \leq_e \mathfrak{A}$ if and only if X is definable in \mathfrak{A} by means of a positive computable infinitary Σ_1 formula with parameters.

Given a structure $\mathfrak{A} = (A; R_1, \dots, R_n)$ let $\mathfrak{A}^+ = (A; R_1, \overline{R_1}, \dots, R_n, \overline{R_n})$.

Proposition

For every $X \subseteq A$, X c.e. in \mathfrak{A} if and only if $X \leq_e \mathfrak{A}^+$.

Question

Given a structure \mathfrak{A} , does there exist a structure \mathfrak{M} , such that for all $R \subseteq |\mathfrak{A}|$, $R \leq_e \mathfrak{A}$ if and only if R is relatively intrinsically Σ_1 in \mathfrak{M} ?

From sets to sequences of sets

Definition

A sequence of sets of natural numbers $\mathcal{X} = \{X_n\}_{n < \omega}$ is *c.e. in a set* $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ if for every n , X_n is c.e. in $A^{(n)}$ uniformly in n .

From sets to sequences of sets

Definition

A sequence of sets of natural numbers $\mathcal{X} = \{X_n\}_{n < \omega}$ is *c.e. in a set* $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ if for every n , X_n is c.e. in $A^{(n)}$ uniformly in n .

Theorem (Selman)

$X \leq_e A$ if and only if for every B , if A is c.e. in B then X is c.e. in B .

From sets to sequences of sets

Definition

A sequence of sets of natural numbers $\mathcal{X} = \{X_n\}_{n < \omega}$ is *c.e. in a set* $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ if for every n , X_n is c.e. in $A^{(n)}$ uniformly in n .

Theorem (Selman)

$X \leq_e A$ if and only if for every B , if A is c.e. in B then X is c.e. in B .

Definition

- (i) Given a set X of natural numbers and a sequence \mathcal{Y} of sets of natural numbers, let $X \leq_n \mathcal{Y}$ if for all sets B , \mathcal{Y} is c.e. in B implies X is Σ_{n+1}^0 in B ;
- (ii) Given sequences \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} of sets of natural numbers, say that \mathcal{X} is ω -enumeration reducible to \mathcal{Y} ($\mathcal{X} \leq_\omega \mathcal{Y}$) if for all sets B , \mathcal{Y} is c.e. in B implies \mathcal{X} is c.e. in B .

Sequences of sets

Ash presents a characterization of “ \leq_n ” and “ \leq_ω ” using computable infinitary propositional sentences. Soskov and Kovachev give another characterizations in terms of enumeration computability.

Definition

The *jump sequence* $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}) = \{\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{X})\}_{n < \omega}$ of \mathcal{X} is defined by induction:

- (i) $\mathcal{P}_0(\mathcal{X}) = X_0$;
- (ii) $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}(\mathcal{X}) = \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{X})' \oplus X_{n+1}$.

Sequences of sets

Ash presents a characterization of “ \leq_n ” and “ \leq_ω ” using computable infinitary propositional sentences. Soskov and Kovachev give another characterizations in terms of enumeration computability.

Definition

The *jump sequence* $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}) = \{\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{X})\}_{n < \omega}$ of \mathcal{X} is defined by induction:

- (i) $\mathcal{P}_0(\mathcal{X}) = X_0$;
- (ii) $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}(\mathcal{X}) = \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{X})' \oplus X_{n+1}$.

Theorem (Soskov)

- 1 $X \leq_n \mathcal{Y}$ if and only if $X \leq_e \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{Y})$.
- 2 $X \leq_\omega \mathcal{Y}$ if and only if for every n , $X_n \leq_e \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{Y})$ uniformly in n .

Sequences of structures

Now consider a sequence of structures $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n < \omega}$, where $\mathfrak{A}_n = (A_n; R_1^n, R_2^n, \dots, R_{m_n}^n)$. Let $A = \bigcup_n A_n$.

Sequences of structures

Now consider a sequence of structures $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n < \omega}$, where $\mathfrak{A}_n = (A_n; R_1^n, R_2^n, \dots, R_{m_n}^n)$. Let $A = \bigcup_n A_n$.

An enumeration f of $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$ is a bijection from $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$.

$f^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$ is the sequence $\{f^{-1}(A_n) \oplus f^{-1}(R_1^n) \cdot \dots \oplus f^{-1}(R_{m_n}^n)\}_{n < \omega}$.

Sequences of structures

Now consider a sequence of structures $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n < \omega}$, where $\mathfrak{A}_n = (A_n; R_1^n, R_2^n, \dots, R_{m_n}^n)$. Let $A = \bigcup_n A_n$.

An enumeration f of $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$ is a bijection from $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$.

$f^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$ is the sequence $\{f^{-1}(A_n) \oplus f^{-1}(R_1^n) \cdots \oplus f^{-1}(R_{m_n}^n)\}_{n < \omega}$.

Definition

For $R \subseteq A$ we say that $R \leq_n \vec{\mathfrak{A}}$ if for every enumeration f of $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$, $f^{-1}(R) \leq_n f^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$.

Sequences of structures

$\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n < \omega}$, where $\mathfrak{A}_n = (A_n; R_1^n, R_2^n, \dots, R_{m_n}^n)$. Let $A = \bigcup_n A_n$.
 $f^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$ is the sequence $\{f^{-1}(A_n) \oplus f^{-1}(R_1^n) \cdots \oplus f^{-1}(R_{m_n}^n)\}_{n < \omega}$.

Sequences of structures

$\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n < \omega}$, where $\mathfrak{A}_n = (A_n; R_1^n, R_2^n, \dots, R_{m_n}^n)$. Let $A = \bigcup_n A_n$.
 $f^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$ is the sequence $\{f^{-1}(A_n) \oplus f^{-1}(R_1^n) \cdots \oplus f^{-1}(R_{m_n}^n)\}_{n < \omega}$.

Definition

A sequence $\{Y_n\}$ of subsets of A is (relatively intrinsically) ω -enumeration reducible to $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$ if for every enumeration f of $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$,
 $\{f^{-1}(Y_n)\} \leq_{\omega} f^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$.

Questions

Question

Given a sequence of structures $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$, does there exist a structure \mathfrak{M} , such that the Σ_{n+1} definable in \mathfrak{M} sets coincide with sets $R \leq_n \vec{\mathfrak{A}}$?

\mathcal{X} is (r.i.) c.e. in \mathfrak{M} if for each enumeration f of \mathfrak{M} , $f^{-1}(X_n)$ is c.e. in $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{M})^{(n)}$ uniformly in n .

Question

Given a sequence of structures $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$, does there exist a structure \mathfrak{M} , such that for every sequence \mathcal{X} of subsets of $A = \bigcup_n A_n$, $\mathcal{X} \leq_\omega \vec{\mathfrak{A}}$ if and only if \mathcal{X} is (r.i.) c.e. in \mathfrak{M} ?

Joint Spectra

Definition

The spectrum of \mathfrak{A} is the set $\text{Sp}(\mathfrak{A}) = \{\mathbf{a} \mid (\exists f)(d_T(f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A})) \leq_T \mathbf{a})\}$.

Joint Spectra

Definition

The spectrum of \mathfrak{A} is the set $\text{Sp}(\mathfrak{A}) = \{\mathbf{a} \mid (\exists f)(d_T(f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A})) \leq_T \mathbf{a})\}$.

The k -th jump spectrum of \mathfrak{A} is the set $\text{Sp}_k(\mathfrak{A}) = \{\mathbf{a}^{(k)} \mid \mathbf{a} \in \text{Sp}(\mathfrak{A})\}$.

Joint Spectra

Definition

The spectrum of \mathfrak{A} is the set $\text{Sp}(\mathfrak{A}) = \{\mathbf{a} \mid (\exists f)(d_T(f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A})) \leq_T \mathbf{a})\}$.

The k -th jump spectrum of \mathfrak{A} is the set $\text{Sp}_k(\mathfrak{A}) = \{\mathbf{a}^{(k)} \mid \mathbf{a} \in \text{Sp}(\mathfrak{A})\}$.

Let $\mathfrak{A}_0, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n$ be arbitrary countable abstract structures.

Definition

The *Joint spectrum* of $\mathfrak{A}_0, \mathfrak{A}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n$ is the set

$$\text{JSp}(\mathfrak{A}_0, \mathfrak{A}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n) = \{\mathbf{a} : \mathbf{a} \in \text{Sp}(\mathfrak{A}_0), \mathbf{a}' \in \text{Sp}(\mathfrak{A}_1), \dots, \mathbf{a}^{(n)} \in \text{Sp}(\mathfrak{A}_n)\}.$$

Joint Spectra

Definition

The spectrum of \mathfrak{A} is the set $\text{Sp}(\mathfrak{A}) = \{\mathbf{a} \mid (\exists f)(d_T(f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A})) \leq_T \mathbf{a})\}$.
The k -th jump spectrum of \mathfrak{A} is the set $\text{Sp}_k(\mathfrak{A}) = \{\mathbf{a}^{(k)} \mid \mathbf{a} \in \text{Sp}(\mathfrak{A})\}$.

Let $\mathfrak{A}_0, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n$ be arbitrary countable abstract structures.

Definition

The Joint spectrum of $\mathfrak{A}_0, \mathfrak{A}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n$ is the set

$$\text{JS}(\mathfrak{A}_0, \mathfrak{A}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n) = \{\mathbf{a} : \mathbf{a} \in \text{Sp}(\mathfrak{A}_0), \mathbf{a}' \in \text{Sp}(\mathfrak{A}_1), \dots, \mathbf{a}^{(n)} \in \text{Sp}(\mathfrak{A}_n)\}.$$

Definition

The k -th co-spectrum of $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$ is the set

$$\text{CoJS}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = \left\{ \mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{D}_e \mid \forall \mathbf{x} \in \text{JS}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) (\mathbf{a} \leq_e \mathbf{x}) \right\},$$

Relative Spectra of Structures

Let $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_k\}_{k \leq n}$ be a finite sequence of countable structures. Denote by $A = \bigcup_k A_k$.

Definition

The relative spectrum of $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$ is

$$\text{RSp}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = \{d_T(B) \mid (\exists f \text{ enumeration of } A)(\forall k \leq n)(f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}_k) \text{ is c.e. in } B^{(k)})\}$$

$$\text{where } f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}_k) = f^{-1}(A_k) \oplus f^{-1}(R_1^k) \oplus \dots \oplus f^{-1}(R_{m_k}^k).$$

Relative Spectra of Structures

Let $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_k\}_{k \leq n}$ be a finite sequence of countable structures. Denote by $A = \bigcup_k A_k$.

Definition

The relative spectrum of $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$ is

$$\text{RSp}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = \{d_T(B) \mid (\exists f \text{ enumeration of } A)(\forall k \leq n)(f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}_k) \text{ is c.e. in } B^{(k)})\}$$

where $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}_k) = f^{-1}(A_k) \oplus f^{-1}(R_1^k) \oplus \dots \oplus f^{-1}(R_{m_k}^k)$.

The k -th jump spectrum of $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$ is the set

$$\text{RSp}_k(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = \{\mathbf{a}^{(k)} \mid \mathbf{a} \in \text{RSp}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})\}.$$

Spectra of sequences of structures

Let $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n < \omega}$ be a sequence of countable structures.

Spectra of sequences of structures

Let $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n < \omega}$ be a sequence of countable structures.

Definition

The Joint spectrum of $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$ is

$$\text{JSp}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = \{d_T(B) \mid (\exists \{f_n\}_{n < \omega} \text{ enumerations of } \vec{\mathfrak{A}}) \\ (\forall n)(f_n^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}_n) \text{ is c.e. in } B^{(n)} \text{ uniformly in } n)\},$$

If $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$ and $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}^*$ are such that for every n $\mathfrak{A}_n \cong \mathfrak{A}_n^*$ then $\text{JSp}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = \text{JSp}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}^*)$.

Spectra of sequences of structures

Let $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n < \omega}$ be a sequence of countable structures.

Definition

The Joint spectrum of $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$ is

$$\text{JSp}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = \{d_T(B) \mid (\exists \{f_n\}_{n < \omega} \text{ enumerations of } \vec{\mathfrak{A}} \\ (\forall n)(f_n^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}_n) \text{ is c.e. in } B^{(n)} \text{ uniformly in } n))\},$$

If $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$ and $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}^*$ are such that for every n $\mathfrak{A}_n \cong \mathfrak{A}_n^*$ then $\text{JSp}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = \text{JSp}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}^*)$.

Definition

The Relative spectrum of $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$ is

$$\text{RSp}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = \{d_T(B) \mid (\exists f \text{ enumeration of } A = \bigcup_n A_n \\ (\forall n)(f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}_n) \text{ is c.e. in } B^{(n)} \text{ uniformly in } n))\},$$

Omega enumeration co-spectra

Definition

The ω -enumeration relative Co-spectrum of $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$ is the set

$$\text{OCoS}p(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = \left\{ \mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{D}_\omega \mid \forall \mathbf{x} \in \text{RSp}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) (\mathbf{a} \leq_\omega \mathbf{x}) \right\}.$$

Omega enumeration co-spectra

Definition

The ω -enumeration relative Co-spectrum of $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$ is the set

$$\text{OCoS}p(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = \left\{ \mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{D}_\omega \mid \forall \mathbf{x} \in \text{RSp}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})(\mathbf{a} \leq_\omega \mathbf{x}) \right\}.$$

For any enumeration f of A denote by $f^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = \{f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}_n)\}_{n < \omega}$.

Proposition

For every sequence of sets of natural numbers $\mathcal{X} = \{X_n\}_{n < \omega}$:

- 1 $d_\omega(\mathcal{X}) \in \text{OCoS}p(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$ iff
- 2 $\mathcal{X} \leq_\omega \{\mathcal{P}_k(f^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}))\}_{k < \omega}$, for every enumeration f of A iff
- 3 each X_n is definable by a computable sequence of Σ_{n+1}^+ formulae with parameters uniformly in n .

The Question

Question

Given a sequence of structures $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$,

- 1 does there exist a structure \mathfrak{M} , such that $\text{JSp}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = \text{Sp}(\mathfrak{M})$?
- 2 does there exist a structure \mathfrak{M} , such that $\text{RSp}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = \text{Sp}(\mathfrak{M})$?

Marker's extensions

Let $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n < \omega}$, and $A = \bigcup_n A_n$. Let $R \subseteq A^m$.

Marker's extensions

Let $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n < \omega}$, and $A = \bigcup_n A_n$. Let $R \subseteq A^m$.

The n -th Marker's extension $\mathfrak{M}_n(R)$ of R

Let X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n be new infinite disjoint countable sets - companions to $\mathfrak{M}_n(R)$.

Fix bijections: $h_0 : R \rightarrow X_0$

$h_1 : (A^m \times X_0) \setminus G_{h_0} \rightarrow X_1 \dots$

$h_n : (A^m \times X_0 \times X_1 \cdots \times X_{n-1}) \setminus G_{h_{n-1}} \rightarrow X_n$

Let $M_n = G_{h_n}$ and $\mathfrak{M}_n(R) = (A \cup X_0 \cup \dots \cup X_n; X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n, M_n)$.

Marker's extensions

Let $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n < \omega}$, and $A = \bigcup_n A_n$. Let $R \subseteq A^m$.

The n -th Marker's extension $\mathfrak{M}_n(R)$ of R

Let X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n be new infinite disjoint countable sets - companions to $\mathfrak{M}_n(R)$.

Fix bijections: $h_0 : R \rightarrow X_0$

$h_1 : (A^m \times X_0) \setminus G_{h_0} \rightarrow X_1 \dots$

$h_n : (A^m \times X_0 \times X_1 \cdots \times X_{n-1}) \setminus G_{h_{n-1}} \rightarrow X_n$

Let $M_n = G_{h_n}$ and $\mathfrak{M}_n(R) = (A \cup X_0 \cup \dots \cup X_n; X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n, M_n)$.

If n is even then:

$\bar{a} \in R \iff \exists x_0 \in X_0 [(\bar{a}, x_0) \in G_{h_0}] \iff$

Marker's extensions

Let $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n < \omega}$, and $A = \bigcup_n A_n$. Let $R \subseteq A^m$.

The n -th Marker's extension $\mathfrak{M}_n(R)$ of R

Let X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n be new infinite disjoint countable sets - companions to $\mathfrak{M}_n(R)$.

Fix bijections: $h_0 : R \rightarrow X_0$

$h_1 : (A^m \times X_0) \setminus G_{h_0} \rightarrow X_1 \dots$

$h_n : (A^m \times X_0 \times X_1 \cdots \times X_{n-1}) \setminus G_{h_{n-1}} \rightarrow X_n$

Let $M_n = G_{h_n}$ and $\mathfrak{M}_n(R) = (A \cup X_0 \cup \dots \cup X_n; X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n, M_n)$.

If n is even then:

$$\bar{a} \in R \iff \exists x_0 \in X_0 [(\bar{a}, x_0) \in G_{h_0}] \iff$$

$$\exists x_0 \in X_0 \forall x_1 \in X_1 [(\bar{a}, x_0, x_1) \notin G_{h_1}] \iff$$

Marker's extensions

Let $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n < \omega}$, and $A = \bigcup_n A_n$. Let $R \subseteq A^m$.

The n -th Marker's extension $\mathfrak{M}_n(R)$ of R

Let X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n be new infinite disjoint countable sets - companions to $\mathfrak{M}_n(R)$.

Fix bijections: $h_0 : R \rightarrow X_0$

$h_1 : (A^m \times X_0) \setminus G_{h_0} \rightarrow X_1 \dots$

$h_n : (A^m \times X_0 \times X_1 \cdots \times X_{n-1}) \setminus G_{h_{n-1}} \rightarrow X_n$

Let $M_n = G_{h_n}$ and $\mathfrak{M}_n(R) = (A \cup X_0 \cup \dots \cup X_n; X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n, M_n)$.

If n is even then:

$$\bar{a} \in R \iff \exists x_0 \in X_0 [(\bar{a}, x_0) \in G_{h_0}] \iff$$

$$\exists x_0 \in X_0 \forall x_1 \in X_1 [(\bar{a}, x_0, x_1) \notin G_{h_1}] \iff$$

$$\exists x_0 \in X_0 \forall x_1 \in X_1 \exists x_2 \in X_2 [(\bar{a}, x_0, x_1, x_2) \in G_{h_2}] \iff \dots$$

Marker's extensions

Let $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n < \omega}$, and $A = \bigcup_n A_n$. Let $R \subseteq A^m$.

The n -th Marker's extension $\mathfrak{M}_n(R)$ of R

Let X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n be new infinite disjoint countable sets - companions to $\mathfrak{M}_n(R)$.

Fix bijections: $h_0 : R \rightarrow X_0$

$h_1 : (A^m \times X_0) \setminus G_{h_0} \rightarrow X_1 \dots$

$h_n : (A^m \times X_0 \times X_1 \cdots \times X_{n-1}) \setminus G_{h_{n-1}} \rightarrow X_n$

Let $M_n = G_{h_n}$ and $\mathfrak{M}_n(R) = (A \cup X_0 \cup \dots \cup X_n; X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n, M_n)$.

If n is even then:

$$\bar{a} \in R \iff \exists x_0 \in X_0 [(\bar{a}, x_0) \in G_{h_0}] \iff$$

$$\exists x_0 \in X_0 \forall x_1 \in X_1 [(\bar{a}, x_0, x_1) \notin G_{h_1}] \iff$$

$$\exists x_0 \in X_0 \forall x_1 \in X_1 \exists x_2 \in X_2 [(\bar{a}, x_0, x_1, x_2) \in G_{h_2}] \iff \dots$$

$$\exists x_0 \in X_0 \forall x_1 \in X_1 \dots \exists x_n \in X_n [M_n(\bar{a}, x_0, \dots, x_n)].$$

Marker's extensions

For $\mathfrak{A} = (A; R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m)$ and $\mathfrak{B} = (B; P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k)$ let
 $\mathfrak{A} \cup \mathfrak{B} = (A \cup B; R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m, P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k)$.

Marker's extensions

For $\mathfrak{A} = (A; R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m)$ and $\mathfrak{B} = (B; P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k)$ let
 $\mathfrak{A} \cup \mathfrak{B} = (A \cup B; R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m, P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k)$.

Let $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n < \omega}$, and $A = \bigcup_n A_n$.

Marker's extensions

For $\mathfrak{A} = (A; R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m)$ and $\mathfrak{B} = (B; P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k)$ let
 $\mathfrak{A} \cup \mathfrak{B} = (A \cup B; R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m, P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k)$.

Let $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n < \omega}$, and $A = \bigcup_n A_n$.

- 1 For every n construct the n -th Marker's extensions of A_n, R_1^n, \dots, R_m^n with disjoint companions.

Marker's extensions

For $\mathfrak{A} = (A; R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m)$ and $\mathfrak{B} = (B; P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k)$ let
 $\mathfrak{A} \cup \mathfrak{B} = (A \cup B; R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m, P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k)$.

Let $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n < \omega}$, and $A = \bigcup_n A_n$.

- 1 For every n construct the n -th Marker's extensions of $A_n, R_1^n, \dots, R_{m_n}^n$ with disjoint companions.
- 2 For every n let $\mathfrak{M}_n(\mathfrak{A}_n) = \mathfrak{M}_n(A_n) \cup \mathfrak{M}_n(R_1^n) \cup \dots \cup \mathfrak{M}_n(R_{m_n}^n)$.

Marker's extensions

For $\mathfrak{A} = (A; R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m)$ and $\mathfrak{B} = (B; P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k)$ let
 $\mathfrak{A} \cup \mathfrak{B} = (A \cup B; R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m, P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k)$.

Let $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n < \omega}$, and $A = \bigcup_n A_n$.

- 1 For every n construct the n -th Marker's extensions of $A_n, R_1^n, \dots, R_{m_n}^n$ with disjoint companions.
- 2 For every n let $\mathfrak{M}_n(\mathfrak{A}_n) = \mathfrak{M}_n(A_n) \cup \mathfrak{M}_n(R_1^n) \cup \dots \cup \mathfrak{M}_n(R_{m_n}^n)$.
- 3 Set $\mathfrak{M}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$ to be $\bigcup_n \mathfrak{M}_n(\mathfrak{A}_n)$ with one additional predicate for A .

Marker's extensions

For $\mathfrak{A} = (A; R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m)$ and $\mathfrak{B} = (B; P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k)$ let
 $\mathfrak{A} \cup \mathfrak{B} = (A \cup B; R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m, P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k)$.

Let $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n < \omega}$, and $A = \bigcup_n A_n$.

- 1 For every n construct the n -th Marker's extensions of $A_n, R_1^n, \dots, R_{m_n}^n$ with disjoint companions.
- 2 For every n let $\mathfrak{M}_n(\mathfrak{A}_n) = \mathfrak{M}_n(A_n) \cup \mathfrak{M}_n(R_1^n) \cup \dots \cup \mathfrak{M}_n(R_{m_n}^n)$.
- 3 Set $\mathfrak{M}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$ to be $\bigcup_n \mathfrak{M}_n(\mathfrak{A}_n)$ with one additional predicate for A .

Two steps (Soskov)

Lemma

For every enumeration f of $\mathfrak{M}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$ there is an enumeration g of $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$:

- 1 $\mathcal{P}_n(g^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})) \leq_e (f^{-1}(\mathfrak{M}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}))^+)^{(n)}$ uniformly in n ;
- 2 $\bigoplus_n \mathcal{P}_n(g^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})) \leq_T (f^{-1}(\mathfrak{M}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}))^+)^{(\omega)}$.

Two steps (Soskov)

Lemma

For every enumeration f of $\mathfrak{M}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$ there is an enumeration g of $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$:

- 1 $\mathcal{P}_n(g^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})) \leq_e (f^{-1}(\mathfrak{M}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}))^+)^{(n)}$ uniformly in n ;
- 2 $\bigoplus_n \mathcal{P}_n(g^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})) \leq_T (f^{-1}(\mathfrak{M}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}))^+)^{(\omega)}$.

Theorem

Let g be an enumeration of $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$ and $\mathcal{Y} \not\leq_\omega g^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$. There is an enumeration f of $\mathfrak{M}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$:

- 1 $\bigoplus_n \mathcal{P}_n(g^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})) \equiv_e (f^{-1}(\mathfrak{M}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})))^{(\omega)}$.
- 2 \mathcal{Y} is not c.e. in $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{M}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}))$.

Two steps (Soskov)

Lemma

For every enumeration f of $\mathfrak{M}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$ there is an enumeration g of $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$:

- 1 $\mathcal{P}_n(g^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})) \leq_e (f^{-1}(\mathfrak{M}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}))^+)^{(n)}$ uniformly in n ;
- 2 $\bigoplus_n \mathcal{P}_n(g^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})) \leq_T (f^{-1}(\mathfrak{M}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}))^+)^{(\omega)}$.

Theorem

Let g be an enumeration of $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$ and $\mathcal{Y} \not\leq_\omega g^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$. There is an enumeration f of $\mathfrak{M}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$:

- 1 $\bigoplus_n \mathcal{P}_n(g^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})) \equiv_e (f^{-1}(\mathfrak{M}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})))^{(\omega)}$.
- 2 \mathcal{Y} is not c.e. in $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{M}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}))$.

Theorem

A sequence \mathcal{Y} of subsets of A is (r.i.) ω -enumeration reducible to $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$ if and only if \mathcal{Y} is (r.i.) c.e. in $\mathfrak{M}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$.

Generalized Goncharov and Khoussainov Lemma

Proposition

Let $n \geq 0$ and R be a $\Sigma_{n+1}^0(B)$ set with an infinite computable subset. Then there exists bijections k_0, \dots, k_n such that the graph of k_n is computable in B , uniformly in an index for R and n and

$$k_0 : R \rightarrow \mathbb{N}.$$

$$k_1 : \mathbb{N}^2 \setminus G_{k_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \dots$$

$$k_n : \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \setminus G_{k_{n-1}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}.$$

Lemma (Soskov, M. Soskova)

Let R be $\Sigma_2^0(X)$ and $S \subseteq R$ be infinite and computable. There exists a bijection $k : R \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathbb{N}^2 \setminus G_k$ is $\Sigma_1^0(X)$ and has an infinite computable subset.

The positive answers of the questions [Soskov]

Let $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}$, $A = \bigcup_n |\mathfrak{A}_n|$ and $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{M}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$ the Marker's extension of $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$.

Theorem

A sequence \mathcal{Y} of subsets of A is (r.i.) ω -enumeration reducible to $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$ if and only if \mathcal{Y} is (r.i) c.e. in $\mathfrak{M}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$.

Theorem

For every structure \mathfrak{A} , there is a structure \mathfrak{M} , s.t. $R \subseteq |\mathfrak{A}|$, $R \leq_e \mathfrak{A}$ if and only if R is relatively intrinsically Σ_1 in \mathfrak{M} .

Theorem

For every $R \subseteq A$, $R \leq_n \vec{\mathfrak{A}} \iff R$ is relatively intrinsically Σ_{n+1} in \mathfrak{M} .

Theorem

- 1 *There is a structure \mathfrak{M}_1 with $\text{JSp}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = \text{Sp}(\mathfrak{M}_1)$.*
- 2 *There is a structure \mathfrak{M}_2 with $\text{RSp}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}) = \text{Sp}(\mathfrak{M}_2)$.*

An example

Theorem (Soskov)

Fix $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n < \omega}$ and let $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{M}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$.

$$\text{OCoSp}(\mathfrak{M}) = \left\{ d_\omega(\mathcal{Y}) \mid (\forall g)(\mathcal{Y} \leq_\omega g^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})) \right\}.$$

An example

Theorem (Soskov)

Fix $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n < \omega}$ and let $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{M}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$.

$$\text{OCoSp}(\mathfrak{M}) = \left\{ d_\omega(\mathcal{Y}) \mid (\forall g)(\mathcal{Y} \leq_\omega g^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})) \right\}.$$

Example

Let $\mathcal{R} = \{R_n\}_{n < \omega}$ be a seq. of sets. Define $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$ the seq. of structures:

- $\mathfrak{A}_0 = (\mathbb{N}; G_S, R_0)$;
- $\mathfrak{A}_n = (\mathbb{N}; R_n)$ for $n \geq 1$.

An example

Theorem (Soskov)

Fix $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n < \omega}$ and let $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{M}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$.

$$\text{OCoS}p(\mathfrak{M}) = \left\{ d_\omega(\mathcal{Y}) \mid (\forall g)(\mathcal{Y} \leq_\omega g^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})) \right\}.$$

Example

Let $\mathcal{R} = \{R_n\}_{n < \omega}$ be a seq. of sets. Define $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$ the seq. of structures:

- $\mathfrak{A}_0 = (\mathbb{N}; G_s, R_0)$;
- $\mathfrak{A}_n = (\mathbb{N}; R_n)$ for $n \geq 1$.

Since every enumeration g of $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$ is computable from $g^{-1}(G_s)$, we have that $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{R}) \leq_e \mathcal{P}_n(g^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}))$ uniformly in n .

An example

Theorem (Soskov)

Fix $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n < \omega}$ and let $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{M}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$.

$$\text{OCoSp}(\mathfrak{M}) = \left\{ d_\omega(\mathcal{Y}) \mid (\forall g)(\mathcal{Y} \leq_\omega g^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})) \right\}.$$

Example

Let $\mathcal{R} = \{R_n\}_{n < \omega}$ be a seq. of sets. Define $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$ the seq. of structures:

- $\mathfrak{A}_0 = (\mathbb{N}; G_s, R_0)$;
- $\mathfrak{A}_n = (\mathbb{N}; R_n)$ for $n \geq 1$.

Since every enumeration g of $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$ is computable from $g^{-1}(G_s)$, we have that $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{R}) \leq_e \mathcal{P}_n(g^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}}))$ uniformly in n .

$$\text{OCoSp}(\mathfrak{M}) = \{d_\omega(\mathcal{Y}) \mid \mathcal{Y} \leq_\omega \mathcal{R}\}.$$

$$\mathcal{D}_T \subset \mathcal{D}_e \subset \mathcal{D}_\omega$$

- The Turing degrees are embedded in to the enumeration degrees by: $\iota(d_T(X)) = d_e(X^+)$.
- There are sets X which are not enumeration equivalent to any set of the form Y^+ .

$$\mathcal{D}_T \subset \mathcal{D}_e \subset \mathcal{D}_\omega$$

- The Turing degrees are embedded in to the enumeration degrees by: $\iota(d_T(X)) = d_e(X^+)$.
- There are sets X which are not enumeration equivalent to any set of the form Y^+ .
- The enumeration degrees are embedded in to the ω -enumeration degrees by: $\kappa(d_e(X)) = d_\omega(\{X^{(n)}\}_{n < \omega})$.
- There are sequences $\mathcal{R} = \{R_n\}_{n < \omega}$ such that:
 - ▶ $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{R}) \equiv_e \emptyset^{(n)}$ for every n .
 - ▶ $\mathcal{R} \not\equiv_\omega \{\emptyset^{(n)}\}_{n < \omega}$.

Sequences with this property are called *almost zero*.

Embedding the ω -enumeration degrees into the Muchnik degrees generated by spectra of structures

Embedding the ω -enumeration degrees into the Muchnik degrees generated by spectra of structures

Consider the structure $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$ obtained from a sequence of sets \mathcal{R} .
 $\mathfrak{A}_0 = (\mathbb{N}; G_s, R_0)$ and for all $n \geq 1$, $\mathfrak{A}_n = (\mathbb{N}; R_n)$.

Embedding the ω -enumeration degrees into the Muchnik degrees generated by spectra of structures

Consider the structure $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$ obtained from a sequence of sets \mathcal{R} .
 $\mathfrak{A}_0 = (\mathbb{N}; G_s, R_0)$ and for all $n \geq 1$, $\mathfrak{A}_n = (\mathbb{N}; R_n)$.

- For every enumeration g of $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$, $\mathcal{R} \leq_\omega g^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$.

Embedding the ω -enumeration degrees into the Muchnik degrees generated by spectra of structures

Consider the structure $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$ obtained from a sequence of sets \mathcal{R} .
 $\mathfrak{A}_0 = (\mathbb{N}; G_s, R_0)$ and for all $n \geq 1$, $\mathfrak{A}_n = (\mathbb{N}; R_n)$.

- For every enumeration g of $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$, $\mathcal{R} \leq_\omega g^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$.
- $\text{Sp}(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{R}}) = \{d_T(B) \mid \mathcal{R} \text{ is c.e. in } B\}$.

Embedding the ω -enumeration degrees into the Muchnik degrees generated by spectra of structures

Consider the structure $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$ obtained from a sequence of sets \mathcal{R} .
 $\mathfrak{A}_0 = (\mathbb{N}; G_s, R_0)$ and for all $n \geq 1$, $\mathfrak{A}_n = (\mathbb{N}; R_n)$.

- For every enumeration g of $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$, $\mathcal{R} \leq_\omega g^{-1}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$.
- $\text{Sp}(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{R}}) = \{d_T(B) \mid \mathcal{R} \text{ is c.e. in } B\}$.

$\mathcal{R} \leq_\omega \mathcal{Q} \iff$

$\{d_T(B) \mid \mathcal{R} \text{ is c.e. in } B\} \supseteq \{d_T(B) \mid \mathcal{Q} \text{ is c.e. in } B\} \iff$

$\text{Sp}(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{R}}) \supseteq \text{Sp}(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{Q}})$.

Let $\mu(d_\omega(\mathcal{R})) = \text{Sp}(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{R}})$.

Spectrum with all non low_n degrees for each n

Theorem

For every sequence $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n < \omega}$ there exists a structure \mathfrak{M} such that $\text{Sp}(\mathfrak{M}) = \text{JSp}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$.

Spectrum with all non low_n degrees for each n

Theorem

For every sequence $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n < \omega}$ there exists a structure \mathfrak{M} such that $Sp(\mathfrak{M}) = JSp(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$.

$$Sp(\mathfrak{M}) \subseteq Sp(\mathfrak{A}_0), Sp_1(\mathfrak{M}) \subseteq Sp(\mathfrak{A}_1), \dots, Sp_n(\mathfrak{M}) \subseteq Sp(\mathfrak{A}_n) \dots$$

Spectrum with all non low_n degrees for each n

Theorem

For every sequence $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n < \omega}$ there exists a structure \mathfrak{M} such that $\text{Sp}(\mathfrak{M}) = \text{JSp}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$.

$\text{Sp}(\mathfrak{M}) \subseteq \text{Sp}(\mathfrak{A}_0)$, $\text{Sp}_1(\mathfrak{M}) \subseteq \text{Sp}(\mathfrak{A}_1)$, \dots , $\text{Sp}_n(\mathfrak{M}) \subseteq \text{Sp}(\mathfrak{A}_n) \dots$

Apply this to the sequence $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$, where \mathfrak{A}_n is obtained by Wehner's construction relativized to $\mathbf{0}^{(n)}$.

Spectrum with all non low_n degrees for each n

Theorem


For every sequence $\vec{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\mathfrak{A}_n\}_{n < \omega}$ there exists a structure \mathfrak{M} such that $\text{Sp}(\mathfrak{M}) = \text{JSp}(\vec{\mathfrak{A}})$.


$\text{Sp}(\mathfrak{M}) \subseteq \text{Sp}(\mathfrak{A}_0)$, $\text{Sp}_1(\mathfrak{M}) \subseteq \text{Sp}(\mathfrak{A}_1)$, \dots , $\text{Sp}_n(\mathfrak{M}) \subseteq \text{Sp}(\mathfrak{A}_n) \dots$


Apply this to the sequence $\vec{\mathfrak{A}}$, where \mathfrak{A}_n is obtained by Wehner's construction relativized to $\mathbf{0}^{(n)}$.

Theorem (Soskov)

There is a structure \mathfrak{M} with $\text{Sp}(\mathfrak{M}) = \{\mathbf{b} \mid \forall n(\mathbf{b}^{(n)} > \mathbf{0}^{(n)})\}$.

 A. A. Soskova and I. N. Soskov
Co-spectra of joint spectra of structures.
Ann. Univ. Sofia, **96** (2004) 35–44.

 I. N. Soskov
Degree spectra and co-spectra of structures.
Ann. Univ. Sofia, **96** (2004) 45–68.

 A. A. Soskova
Relativized degree spectra.
Journal of Logic and Computation, **17** (2007) 1215–1234.

 I. N. Soskov
Effective properties of Marker's Extensions.
Journal of Logic and Computation, **23** (6), (2013) 1335–1367.