

D. SKORDEV, *An axiomatic treatment of recursiveness for some kinds of multi-valued functions.*

Let \mathcal{F} be a semigroup with an identity I . The elements of \mathcal{F} and their multiplication will play the roles of functions and composition. Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ (the elements of \mathcal{C} will be "the single-valued constants"). As variables ranging over \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{C} we shall use $\varphi, \psi, \theta, \chi$ and x, y respectively. Let $\varphi, \psi \mapsto (\varphi, \psi)$ and $\chi, \varphi, \psi \mapsto (\chi \supset \varphi, \psi)$ be a binary and a ternary operation on \mathcal{F} ("pairing of the function values" and "definition by cases") and assume that $\forall x \forall y ((x, y) \in \mathcal{C})$. Let $L, R \in \mathcal{F}, T, F \in \mathcal{C}, T \neq F$ and let the following equations hold identically: $xy = x$, $(\varphi, \psi)x = (\varphi x, \psi x)$, $(\varphi x, I)\theta = (\varphi x, \theta)$, $(I, \psi(x))\theta = (\theta, \psi x)$, $L(x, y) = x$, $R(x, y) = y$, $(\chi \supset \varphi, \psi)x = (\chi x \supset \varphi x, \psi x)$, $(I \supset \varphi x, \psi x)\theta = (\theta \supset \varphi x, \psi x)$, $\theta(\chi \supset \varphi, \psi) = (\chi \supset \theta\varphi, \theta\psi)$, $(T \supset \varphi, \psi) = \varphi$, $(F \supset \varphi, \psi) = \psi$. Let \leq ("the inclusion relation") be a partial order on \mathcal{F} such that: (i) the three

given operations on \mathcal{F} are monotonic with respect to \leq , (ii) $\forall \varphi \forall \psi (\forall x (\varphi x \leq \psi x) \rightarrow \varphi \leq \psi)$ and (iii) each chain \mathfrak{M} in \mathcal{F} has an upper bound ψ satisfying the condition

$$\forall \varphi \forall x \forall \chi (\forall \theta (\theta \in \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \varphi \theta x \leq \chi) \rightarrow \varphi \psi x \leq \chi).$$

The iteration of φ controlled by χ is by definition the least solution θ of the equation $\theta = (\chi \supset I, \theta\varphi)$. A "function" ψ is called recursive in some "functions" ψ_1, \dots, ψ_n iff ψ can be obtained from $I, L, R, T, F, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_n$ by means of the three given operations on \mathcal{F} and iteration. For this notion of recursiveness we prove a normal form theorem, an enumeration theorem and the first and second recursion theorems.

Consider a set M together with a pairing mechanism J, L, R on it and let $c_i \in M_i \subseteq M$ ($i = 0, 1$), $M_0 \cap M_1 = \emptyset$ (using the notations from [1], we can for example take M to be the set B^* corresponding to an arbitrary set B and take $J = \lambda st.(s, t)$, $L = \pi$, $R = \delta$, $M_0 = B^0$, $M_1 = B^* - B^0$, $c_0 = 0$, $c_1 = 1$). We obtain a model for the given system of axioms taking \mathcal{F} to be the set of all partial multiple-valued mappings of M into M with the natural rule of composition and the natural partial ordering and taking $\mathcal{C} = \{\lambda s.c : c \in M\}$, $(\varphi, \psi) = \lambda s.J(\varphi(s), \psi(s))$, $(\chi \supset \varphi, \psi) = \lambda s.\{t : (\chi(s) \cap M_0 \neq \emptyset \wedge t \in \varphi(s)) \vee (\chi(s) \cap M_1 \neq \emptyset \wedge t \in \psi(s))\}$; $T = \lambda s.c_0$, $F = \lambda s.c_1$; in the special case which corresponds to [1] our notion of recursiveness will be equivalent to absolute prime computability. Other models can be obtained by taking \mathcal{F} to be the set of the partial mappings of M into M , or by considering fuzzy or probabilistic mappings of M into M . We can also take \mathcal{F} to be the set of all pairs $\langle D, f \rangle$, where f is a partial multiple-valued mapping of M into M and $D \subseteq \text{Dom } f$, and define multiplication by $\langle D_0, f_0 \rangle \cdot \langle D_1, f_1 \rangle = \langle D, f_0 f_1 \rangle$, where $D = \{t : t \in D_1 \wedge f_1(t) \subseteq D_0\}$. Then for a suitable interpretation of the rest of the primitive notions we again obtain a model for the considered system.

REFERENCE

[1] Y. N. MOSCHOVAKIS, *Abstract first order computability. I, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 138 (1969), pp. 427-464.