# On representations of irrational numbers in subrecursive context

Ivan Georgiev<sup>1</sup>

Prof. d-r Asen Zlatarov University, Burgas, Bulgaria

#### Computability and Complexity in Analysis

Zagreb, Croatia, 8-11 July 2019

<sup>1</sup>This work was supported by the Bulgarian National Science Fund through contract DN-02-16/19.12.2016

This is a joint research initiated by prof. Lars Kristiansen from Oslo University [2, 3] together with prof. Frank Stephan from the National University of Singapore.

This is a joint research initiated by prof. Lars Kristiansen from Oslo University [2, 3] together with prof. Frank Stephan from the National University of Singapore.

It is partially funded by the Bulgarian National Science Fund through the project "Models of Computablity", DN-02-16/19.12.2016.

There are many different ways to represent a real number  $\xi$ :

There are many different ways to represent a real number  $\xi$ :

• Dedekind cuts:  $\xi$  is represented by the set  $\{q \in \mathbb{Q} | q < \xi\}$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

There are many different ways to represent a real number  $\xi$ :

- Dedekind cuts:  $\xi$  is represented by the set  $\{q \in \mathbb{Q} | q < \xi\}$ .
- ► Cauchy sequences:  $\xi$  is represented by a sequence  $q : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Q}$ , such that  $\xi = \lim_{n \to \infty} q_n$ .

There are many different ways to represent a real number  $\xi$ :

- Dedekind cuts:  $\xi$  is represented by the set  $\{q \in \mathbb{Q} | q < \xi\}$ .
- ► Cauchy sequences:  $\xi$  is represented by a sequence  $q : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Q}$ , such that  $\xi = \lim_{n \to \infty} q_n$ .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Base b-expansions: ξ is represented by the sequence of its digits in base b.

There are many different ways to represent a real number  $\xi$ :

- Dedekind cuts:  $\xi$  is represented by the set  $\{q \in \mathbb{Q} | q < \xi\}$ .
- ► Cauchy sequences:  $\xi$  is represented by a sequence  $q : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Q}$ , such that  $\xi = \lim_{n \to \infty} q_n$ .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Base b-expansions: ξ is represented by the sequence of its digits in base b.

▶ .....

There are many different ways to represent a real number  $\xi$ :

- Dedekind cuts:  $\xi$  is represented by the set  $\{q \in \mathbb{Q} | q < \xi\}$ .
- ► Cauchy sequences:  $\xi$  is represented by a sequence  $q : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Q}$ , such that  $\xi = \lim_{n \to \infty} q_n$ .
- Base b-expansions: ξ is represented by the sequence of its digits in base b.

▶ .....

Our aim is to compare the complexity of these representations.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

There are many different ways to represent a real number  $\xi$ :

- Dedekind cuts:  $\xi$  is represented by the set  $\{q \in \mathbb{Q} | q < \xi\}$ .
- ► Cauchy sequences:  $\xi$  is represented by a sequence  $q : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Q}$ , such that  $\xi = \lim_{n \to \infty} q_n$ .
- Base *b*-expansions: ξ is represented by the sequence of its digits in base *b*.

▶ .....

Our aim is to compare the complexity of these representations. Our framework for complexity is subrecursive: roughly speaking, a computation is *subrecursive* if the number of iterations in any cycle can be computed in advance, before executing the cycle.

There are many different ways to represent a real number  $\xi$ :

- Dedekind cuts:  $\xi$  is represented by the set  $\{q \in \mathbb{Q} | q < \xi\}$ .
- ► Cauchy sequences:  $\xi$  is represented by a sequence  $q : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Q}$ , such that  $\xi = \lim_{n \to \infty} q_n$ .
- Base *b*-expansions: ξ is represented by the sequence of its digits in base *b*.

▶ .....

Our aim is to compare the complexity of these representations. Our framework for complexity is subrecursive: roughly speaking, a computation is *subrecursive* if the number of iterations in any cycle can be computed in advance, before executing the cycle. Thus unbounded search is not allowed in a subrecursive computation.

Let  $\phi, \psi$  be total functions in  $\mathbb{N}$ .

Let  $\phi, \psi$  be total functions in  $\mathbb{N}$ .

We say that  $\phi$  is *elementary in*  $\psi$  ( $\phi \leq_E \psi$ ) iff  $\phi$  can be generated from  $\psi$  and the initial functions (projections, constants, successor,  $\lambda n.2^n$ ) using composition and bounded primitive recursion.

Let  $\phi, \psi$  be total functions in  $\mathbb{N}$ .

We say that  $\phi$  is *elementary in*  $\psi$  ( $\phi \leq_E \psi$ ) iff  $\phi$  can be generated from  $\psi$  and the initial functions (projections, constants, successor,  $\lambda n.2^n$ ) using composition and bounded primitive recursion. The function  $\phi$  is *elementary* iff  $\phi \leq_E 0$ .

Let  $\phi, \psi$  be total functions in  $\mathbb{N}$ .

We say that  $\phi$  is *elementary in*  $\psi$  ( $\phi \leq_E \psi$ ) iff  $\phi$  can be generated from  $\psi$  and the initial functions (projections, constants, successor,  $\lambda n.2^n$ ) using composition and bounded primitive recursion. The function  $\phi$  is *elementary* iff  $\phi \leq_E 0$ .

We say that  $\phi$  is *primitive recursive in*  $\psi$  ( $\phi \leq_{PR} \psi$ ) iff  $\phi$  can be generated from  $\psi$  and the initial functions using composition and (unbounded) primitive recursion.

Let  $\phi, \psi$  be total functions in  $\mathbb{N}$ .

We say that  $\phi$  is *elementary in*  $\psi$  ( $\phi \leq_E \psi$ ) iff  $\phi$  can be generated from  $\psi$  and the initial functions (projections, constants, successor,  $\lambda n.2^n$ ) using composition and bounded primitive recursion. The function  $\phi$  is *elementary* iff  $\phi \leq_E 0$ .

We say that  $\phi$  is *primitive recursive in*  $\psi$  ( $\phi \leq_{PR} \psi$ ) iff  $\phi$  can be generated from  $\psi$  and the initial functions using composition and (unbounded) primitive recursion.

The function  $\phi$  is *primitive recursive* iff  $\phi \leq_{PR} 0$ .

Let  $\phi, \psi$  be total functions in  $\mathbb{N}$ .

We say that  $\phi$  is *elementary in*  $\psi$  ( $\phi \leq_E \psi$ ) iff  $\phi$  can be generated from  $\psi$  and the initial functions (projections, constants, successor,  $\lambda n.2^n$ ) using composition and bounded primitive recursion. The function  $\phi$  is *elementary* iff  $\phi \leq_E 0$ .

We say that  $\phi$  is *primitive recursive in*  $\psi$  ( $\phi \leq_{PR} \psi$ ) iff  $\phi$  can be generated from  $\psi$  and the initial functions using composition and (unbounded) primitive recursion.

The function  $\phi$  is *primitive recursive* iff  $\phi \leq_{PR} 0$ .

A subrecursive class S is any efficiently enumerable class of computable total functions in  $\mathbb{N}$ .

Let  $\phi, \psi$  be total functions in  $\mathbb{N}$ .

We say that  $\phi$  is *elementary in*  $\psi$  ( $\phi \leq_E \psi$ ) iff  $\phi$  can be generated from  $\psi$  and the initial functions (projections, constants, successor,  $\lambda n.2^n$ ) using composition and bounded primitive recursion. The function  $\phi$  is *elementary* iff  $\phi \leq_E 0$ .

We say that  $\phi$  is *primitive recursive in*  $\psi$  ( $\phi \leq_{PR} \psi$ ) iff  $\phi$  can be generated from  $\psi$  and the initial functions using composition and (unbounded) primitive recursion.

The function  $\phi$  is *primitive recursive* iff  $\phi \leq_{PR} 0$ .

A subrecursive class S is any efficiently enumerable class of computable total functions in  $\mathbb{N}$ .

We assume some elementary coding of  $\mathbb Z$  and  $\mathbb Q$  into  $\mathbb N.$ 

Let  $\xi$  be an irrational number.

Let  $\xi$  be an irrational number.

#### Definition

The function  $C : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Q}$  is a *Cauchy sequence* for  $\xi$  if and only if for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$|C(n)-\xi|<\frac{1}{2^n}.$$

Let  $\xi$  be an irrational number.

#### Definition

The function  $C : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Q}$  is a *Cauchy sequence* for  $\xi$  if and only if for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$|C(n)-\xi|<\frac{1}{2^n}.$$

The function  $D:\mathbb{Q}\to\{0,1\}$  is a *Dedekind cut* of  $\xi$  if and only if for all  $q\in\mathbb{Q}$ 

$$D(q) = 0 \Leftrightarrow q < \xi.$$

Let  $\xi$  be an irrational number.

#### Definition

The function  $C : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Q}$  is a *Cauchy sequence* for  $\xi$  if and only if for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$|C(n)-\xi|<\frac{1}{2^n}.$$

The function  $D:\mathbb{Q}\to\{0,1\}$  is a *Dedekind cut* of  $\xi$  if and only if for all  $q\in\mathbb{Q}$ 

$$D(q) = 0 \Leftrightarrow q < \xi.$$

The function  $T : \mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{Q}$  is a *trace function* for  $\xi$  if and only if for all  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ 

$$|T(q)-\xi|<|q-\xi|.$$

### Recursive real numbers

#### Proposition

The following are equivalent for an irrational number  $\xi$ :

there exists a computable Cauchy sequence for ξ;

- the Dedekind cut of  $\xi$  is computable;
- there exists a computable trace function for  $\xi$ .

Given a Dedekind cut of the irrational number  $\xi$  we can subrecursively compute a Cauchy sequence for  $\xi$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Given a Dedekind cut of the irrational number  $\xi$  we can subrecursively compute a Cauchy sequence for  $\xi$ . In fact, we can compute subrecursively the decimal representation

 $M.D_1D_2...D_n...$ 

of  $\xi$ .

Given a Dedekind cut of the irrational number  $\xi$  we can subrecursively compute a Cauchy sequence for  $\xi$ . In fact, we can compute subrecursively the decimal representation

 $M.D_1D_2...D_n...$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

of  $\xi$ . The whole part M of  $\xi$  may be used as a constant in the algorithm.

Given a Dedekind cut of the irrational number  $\xi$  we can subrecursively compute a Cauchy sequence for  $\xi$ . In fact, we can compute subrecursively the decimal representation

 $M.D_1D_2...D_n...$ 

of  $\xi$ . The whole part M of  $\xi$  may be used as a constant in the algorithm. The decimal digits  $D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_n$  can be computed subrecursively from the Dedekind cut of  $\xi$ , since  $D_n \in \{0, 1, \ldots, 9\}$ .

Given a Dedekind cut of the irrational number  $\xi$  we can subrecursively compute a Cauchy sequence for  $\xi$ . In fact, we can compute subrecursively the decimal representation

 $M.D_1D_2...D_n...$ 

of  $\xi$ . The whole part M of  $\xi$  may be used as a constant in the algorithm. The decimal digits  $D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_n$  can be computed subrecursively from the Dedekind cut of  $\xi$ , since  $D_n \in \{0, 1, \ldots, 9\}$ . Finally, by taking

$$q_n = M.D_1D_2\ldots D_n$$

we obtain a Cauchy sequence for  $\xi$ .

In the reverse direction, it is not possible to compute subrecursively the Dedekind cut of an irrational number  $\xi$  given a Cauchy sequence for  $\xi$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

In the reverse direction, it is not possible to compute subrecursively the Dedekind cut of an irrational number  $\xi$  given a Cauchy sequence for  $\xi$ .

To decide whether  $q < \xi$  given a Cauchy sequence  $q_n$  for  $\xi$  an unbounded search is needed to produce an interval, containing  $\xi$ , which is either to the left or to the right of q.

In the reverse direction, it is not possible to compute subrecursively the Dedekind cut of an irrational number  $\xi$  given a Cauchy sequence for  $\xi$ .

To decide whether  $q < \xi$  given a Cauchy sequence  $q_n$  for  $\xi$  an unbounded search is needed to produce an interval, containing  $\xi$ , which is either to the left or to the right of q.

 $q_n-2^{-n}$   $\xi$   $q_n+2^{-n}$  q

In the reverse direction, it is not possible to compute subrecursively the Dedekind cut of an irrational number  $\xi$  given a Cauchy sequence for  $\xi$ .

To decide whether  $q < \xi$  given a Cauchy sequence  $q_n$  for  $\xi$  an unbounded search is needed to produce an interval, containing  $\xi$ , which is either to the left or to the right of q.

$$q_n - 2^{-n}$$
  $\xi$   $q_n + 2^{-n} q$   
 $q_n - 2^{-n} \xi$   $q_n + 2^{-n}$ 

### Dedekind cuts and trace functions

Given a trace function T of an irrational number  $\xi$  we can subrecursively compute the Dedekind cut of  $\xi$  by

 $T(q) < q \Rightarrow \xi < q,$  $T(q) > q \Rightarrow q < \xi.$ 

# Dedekind cuts and trace functions

Given a trace function T of an irrational number  $\xi$  we can subrecursively compute the Dedekind cut of  $\xi$  by

$$T(q) < q \Rightarrow \xi < q,$$
  
 $T(q) > q \Rightarrow q < \xi.$ 

But conversely, given the Dedekind cut of  $\xi$  is not possible to obtain a trace function T subrecursively.

### Dedekind cuts and trace functions

Given a trace function T of an irrational number  $\xi$  we can subrecursively compute the Dedekind cut of  $\xi$  by

$$T(q) < q \Rightarrow \xi < q,$$
  
 $T(q) > q \Rightarrow q < \xi.$ 

But conversely, given the Dedekind cut of  $\xi$  is not possible to obtain a trace function T subrecursively. Given  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ , an unbounded search is needed to find  $T(q) \in \mathbb{Q}$ , such that  $q < T(q) < \xi$  or  $\xi < T(q) < q$ .

### Formal result

For a class S of functions, we denote by  $S_T, S_D, S_C$  the set of all real numbers, which have a trace function, Dedekind cut or Cauchy sequence in S, respectively.

## Formal result

For a class S of functions, we denote by  $S_T, S_D, S_C$  the set of all real numbers, which have a trace function, Dedekind cut or Cauchy sequence in S, respectively.

### Theorem (Kristiansen)

For any subrecursive class S, closed under elementary operations we have

 $\mathcal{S}_T \subset \mathcal{S}_D \subset \mathcal{S}_C.$ 

From now on we consider only irrational numbers  $\xi \in (0, 1)$ .

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

From now on we consider only irrational numbers  $\xi \in (0, 1)$ . For any base  $b \ge 2$ , there exists a unique sequence  $D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_n \ldots$  of *b*-digits, such that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$0.D_1D_2\ldots D_n < \xi < 0.D_1D_2\ldots D_n + \frac{1}{b^n}.$$

From now on we consider only irrational numbers  $\xi \in (0, 1)$ . For any base  $b \ge 2$ , there exists a unique sequence  $D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_n \ldots$  of *b*-digits, such that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$0.D_1D_2\ldots D_n < \xi < 0.D_1D_2\ldots D_n + \frac{1}{b^n}.$$

For a class S of functions, we denote by  $S_{bE}$  the set of all real numbers, whose sequence of *b*-digits belongs to S.

From now on we consider only irrational numbers  $\xi \in (0, 1)$ . For any base  $b \ge 2$ , there exists a unique sequence  $D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_n \ldots$  of *b*-digits, such that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$0.D_1D_2\ldots D_n < \xi < 0.D_1D_2\ldots D_n + \frac{1}{b^n}.$$

For a class S of functions, we denote by  $S_{bE}$  the set of all real numbers, whose sequence of *b*-digits belongs to S.

### Theorem (Mostowski, Kristiansen)

For any subrecursive class S, closed under elementary operations and any two bases a, b we have

 $\mathcal{S}_{bE} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{aE} \iff$  every prime factor of a is a prime factor of b.

From now on we consider only irrational numbers  $\xi \in (0, 1)$ . For any base  $b \ge 2$ , there exists a unique sequence  $D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_n \ldots$  of *b*-digits, such that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$0.D_1D_2\ldots D_n < \xi < 0.D_1D_2\ldots D_n + \frac{1}{b^n}.$$

For a class S of functions, we denote by  $S_{bE}$  the set of all real numbers, whose sequence of *b*-digits belongs to S.

### Theorem (Mostowski, Kristiansen)

For any subrecursive class S, closed under elementary operations and any two bases a, b we have

 $\mathcal{S}_{bE} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{aE} \iff$  every prime factor of a is a prime factor of b.

It follows that

$$\mathcal{S}_T \subset \mathcal{S}_D \subset \mathcal{S}_{bE} \subset \mathcal{S}_C$$

for any subrecursive class S, closed under elementary operations and any base  $b \ge 2$ .

# $\mathcal{E}^2$ -irrationality

### Definition

A real number  $\xi$  will be called  $\mathcal{E}^2$ -*irrational* iff there exists a function  $v \in \mathcal{E}^2$ , such that

$$\left|\xi-\frac{m}{n}\right|>\frac{1}{v(n)}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

for all integers m and n > 0.

# $\mathcal{E}^2$ -irrationality

### Definition

A real number  $\xi$  will be called  $\mathcal{E}^2$ -*irrational* iff there exists a function  $v \in \mathcal{E}^2$ , such that

$$\left|\xi-\frac{m}{n}\right|>\frac{1}{v(n)}$$

for all integers m and n > 0.

In fact,  $\xi$  is  $\mathcal{E}^2$ -irrational iff  $\xi$  has a finite irrationality measure and v can be chosen a polynomial of n.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

# $\mathcal{E}^2$ -irrationality

### Definition

A real number  $\xi$  will be called  $\mathcal{E}^2$ -*irrational* iff there exists a function  $v \in \mathcal{E}^2$ , such that

$$\left|\xi-\frac{m}{n}\right|>\frac{1}{v(n)}$$

for all integers m and n > 0.

In fact,  $\xi$  is  $\mathcal{E}^2$ -irrational iff  $\xi$  has a finite irrationality measure and v can be chosen a polynomial of n.

#### Theorem

For a subrecursive class S, closed under elementary operations and a real number  $\xi$ , which is  $\mathcal{E}^2$ -irrational we have:

$$\xi \in \mathcal{S}_T \Longleftrightarrow \xi \in \mathcal{S}_D \Longleftrightarrow \xi \in \mathcal{S}_{bE} \Longleftrightarrow \xi \in \mathcal{S}_C.$$

We denote 
$$\mathcal{S}_E = \bigcup_{b=2}^{\infty} \mathcal{S}_{bE}$$
.

We denote 
$$\mathcal{S}_E = \bigcup_{b=2}^{\infty} \mathcal{S}_{bE}$$
.

#### Theorem

There exists a real number  $\xi$ , such that  $\xi \in S_C$  and  $\xi \notin S_E$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

We denote 
$$\mathcal{S}_E = \bigcup_{b=2}^{\infty} \mathcal{S}_{bE}$$
.

#### Theorem

There exists a real number  $\xi$ , such that  $\xi \in S_C$  and  $\xi \notin S_E$ .

The theorem can be generalised in the following way:

#### Theorem

For any  $\mathcal{E}^2$ -irrational number  $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_C$  there exists an  $\mathcal{E}^2$ -irrational number  $\beta \in \mathcal{S}_C$ , such that  $\alpha + \beta \notin \mathcal{S}_E$ .

We denote 
$$\mathcal{S}_E = \bigcup_{b=2}^{\infty} \mathcal{S}_{bE}$$
.

#### Theorem

There exists a real number  $\xi$ , such that  $\xi \in S_C$  and  $\xi \notin S_E$ .

The theorem can be generalised in the following way:

#### Theorem

For any  $\mathcal{E}^2$ -irrational number  $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_C$  there exists an  $\mathcal{E}^2$ -irrational number  $\beta \in \mathcal{S}_C$ , such that  $\alpha + \beta \notin \mathcal{S}_E$ .

And similarly:

#### Theorem

For any  $\mathcal{E}^2$ -irrational number  $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_C$  there exists an  $\mathcal{E}^2$ -irrational number  $\beta \in \mathcal{S}_C$ , such that  $\alpha \beta \notin \mathcal{S}_E$ .

Let R any of the known representations, not equivalent to Cauchy sequences and  $S_R$  be the set of irrational numbers, which possess an R-representation, computable through functions from S.

Let R any of the known representations, not equivalent to Cauchy sequences and  $S_R$  be the set of irrational numbers, which possess an R-representation, computable through functions from S. Then we have

$$\{ \alpha \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{C}} \mid \alpha \text{ is } \mathcal{E}^2 \text{-irrational } \} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{R}} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{E}}$$

Let R any of the known representations, not equivalent to Cauchy sequences and  $S_R$  be the set of irrational numbers, which possess an R-representation, computable through functions from S. Then we have

$$\{ \alpha \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{C}} \mid \alpha \text{ is } \mathcal{E}^2 \text{-irrational } \} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{R}} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{E}}$$

and it follows from the last two theorems that  $S_R$  is not closed under addition or multiplication.

### Base-b sum approximations from below

Let us fix some base *b*. Any irrational number  $\xi \in (0,1)$  can be written in the form

$$\xi = \frac{d_1}{b^{k_1}} + \frac{d_2}{b^{k_2}} + \frac{d_3}{b^{k_3}} + \dots,$$

where  $k_n$  is a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers and  $d_n$  are non-zero base-*b* digits,  $d_n \in \{1, \ldots, b-1\}$ .

## Base-b sum approximations from below

Let us fix some base b. Any irrational number  $\xi \in (0,1)$  can be written in the form

$$\xi = \frac{d_1}{b^{k_1}} + \frac{d_2}{b^{k_2}} + \frac{d_3}{b^{k_3}} + \dots,$$

where  $k_n$  is a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers and  $d_n$  are non-zero base-*b* digits,  $d_n \in \{1, \ldots, b-1\}$ .

### Definition

The function  $\hat{A}_{b}^{\xi}$ , defined by  $\hat{A}_{b}^{\xi}(n) = d_{n}b^{-k_{n}}$  for n > 0 and  $\hat{A}_{b}^{\xi}(0) = 0$  is called *base-b sum approximation from below* of the number  $\xi$ .

# Base-*b* sum approximations from below

Let us fix some base b. Any irrational number  $\xi \in (0,1)$  can be written in the form

$$\xi = \frac{d_1}{b^{k_1}} + \frac{d_2}{b^{k_2}} + \frac{d_3}{b^{k_3}} + \dots,$$

where  $k_n$  is a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers and  $d_n$  are non-zero base-*b* digits,  $d_n \in \{1, \ldots, b-1\}$ .

### Definition

The function  $\hat{A}_{b}^{\xi}$ , defined by  $\hat{A}_{b}^{\xi}(n) = d_{n}b^{-k_{n}}$  for n > 0 and  $\hat{A}_{b}^{\xi}(0) = 0$  is called *base-b sum approximation from below* of the number  $\xi$ .

For a class of functions S we denote by  $S_{b\uparrow}$  the set of all real numbers, which have a base-*b* sum approximation from below in S, that is

$$\xi \in \mathcal{S}_{b\uparrow} \iff \hat{A}_b^{\xi} \in \mathcal{S}.$$

### Base-b sum approximations from above

Moreover, we can write

$$\xi = 1 - \frac{d_1'}{b^{m_1}} - \frac{d_2'}{b^{m_2}} - \frac{d_3'}{b^{m_3}} - \dots,$$

where  $m_n$  is a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers and  $d'_n$  are non-zero base-*b* digits.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

# Base-b sum approximations from above

Moreover, we can write

$$\xi = 1 - rac{d_1'}{b^{m_1}} - rac{d_2'}{b^{m_2}} - rac{d_3'}{b^{m_3}} - \dots,$$

where  $m_n$  is a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers and  $d'_n$  are non-zero base-*b* digits.

#### Definition

The function  $\check{A}_{b}^{\xi}$ , defined by  $\check{A}_{b}^{\xi}(n) = d'_{n}b^{-m_{n}}$  for n > 0 and  $\check{A}_{b}^{\xi}(0) = 0$  is called *base-b sum approximation from above* of the number  $\xi$ .

# Base-b sum approximations from above

Moreover, we can write

$$\xi = 1 - rac{d_1'}{b^{m_1}} - rac{d_2'}{b^{m_2}} - rac{d_3'}{b^{m_3}} - \dots,$$

where  $m_n$  is a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers and  $d'_n$  are non-zero base-*b* digits.

#### Definition

The function  $\check{A}_{b}^{\xi}$ , defined by  $\check{A}_{b}^{\xi}(n) = d'_{n}b^{-m_{n}}$  for n > 0 and  $\check{A}_{b}^{\xi}(0) = 0$  is called *base-b sum approximation from above* of the number  $\xi$ .

For a class of functions S we denote by  $S_{b\downarrow}$  the set of all real numbers, which have a base-*b* sum approximation from above in S, that is

$$\xi \in \mathcal{S}_{b\downarrow} \iff \check{A}_b^{\xi} \in \mathcal{S}.$$

For example, let us have  $\xi = 0.05439990003...$  in base b = 10.

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

For example, let us have  $\xi=0.05439990003\ldots$  in base b=10. Then

$$\xi = \frac{5}{10^2} + \frac{4}{10^3} + \frac{3}{10^4} + \frac{9}{10^5} + \frac{9}{10^6} + \frac{9}{10^7} + \frac{3}{10^{11}} + \dots,$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

thus

For example, let us have  $\xi=0.05439990003\ldots$  in base b=10. Then

$$\xi = \frac{5}{10^2} + \frac{4}{10^3} + \frac{3}{10^4} + \frac{9}{10^5} + \frac{9}{10^6} + \frac{9}{10^7} + \frac{3}{10^{11}} + \dots,$$

$$\hat{A}_{10}^{\xi}(1) = rac{5}{10^2}, \ \ \hat{A}_{10}^{\xi}(2) = rac{4}{10^3}, \ \ \ldots$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

For example, let us have  $\xi = 0.05439990003\ldots$  in base b = 10. Then

$$\xi = \frac{5}{10^2} + \frac{4}{10^3} + \frac{3}{10^4} + \frac{9}{10^5} + \frac{9}{10^6} + \frac{9}{10^7} + \frac{3}{10^{11}} + \dots,$$

thus

$$\hat{A}_{10}^{\xi}(1) = rac{5}{10^2}, \ \ \hat{A}_{10}^{\xi}(2) = rac{4}{10^3}, \ \ \ldots$$

Moreover,

$$\xi = 1 - \frac{9}{10^1} - \frac{4}{10^2} - \frac{5}{10^3} - \frac{6}{10^4} - \frac{9}{10^8} - \frac{9}{10^9} - \frac{9}{10^{10}} - \frac{6}{10^{11}} + \dots,$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

For example, let us have  $\xi = 0.05439990003\ldots$  in base b = 10. Then

$$\xi = \frac{5}{10^2} + \frac{4}{10^3} + \frac{3}{10^4} + \frac{9}{10^5} + \frac{9}{10^6} + \frac{9}{10^7} + \frac{3}{10^{11}} + \dots,$$

thus

$$\hat{A}_{10}^{\xi}(1) = \frac{5}{10^2}, \ \hat{A}_{10}^{\xi}(2) = \frac{4}{10^3}, \ \dots$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{split} \xi &= 1 - \frac{9}{10^1} - \frac{4}{10^2} - \frac{5}{10^3} - \frac{6}{10^4} - \frac{9}{10^8} - \frac{9}{10^9} - \frac{9}{10^{10}} - \frac{6}{10^{11}} + \dots, \\ \text{thus} \\ \check{A}_{10}^{\xi}(1) &= \frac{9}{10^1}, \ \check{A}_{10}^{\xi}(2) = \frac{4}{10^2}, \ \dots \end{split}$$

## Results on sum approximations

### Theorem (Kristiansen)

Let S be a subrecursive class, closed under elementary operations. For any base b we have

$$\mathcal{S}_{b\uparrow} \nsubseteq \mathcal{S}_{b\downarrow}$$
 and  $\mathcal{S}_{b\downarrow} \nsubseteq \mathcal{S}_{b\uparrow}$ .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

## Results on sum approximations

### Theorem (Kristiansen)

Let S be a subrecursive class, closed under elementary operations. For any base b we have

$$\mathcal{S}_{b\uparrow} \nsubseteq \mathcal{S}_{b\downarrow}$$
 and  $\mathcal{S}_{b\downarrow} \nsubseteq \mathcal{S}_{b\uparrow}$ .

It easily follows that

$$\mathcal{S}_{b\uparrow} \subset \mathcal{S}_{bE}$$
 and  $\mathcal{S}_{b\downarrow} \subset \mathcal{S}_{bE}$ .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

Let us denote 
$$\mathcal{S}_{\uparrow} = \bigcup_{b=2}^{\infty} \mathcal{S}_{b\uparrow}, \ \mathcal{S}_{\downarrow} = \bigcup_{b=2}^{\infty} \mathcal{S}_{b\downarrow}.$$

Let us denote 
$$S_{\uparrow} = \bigcup_{b=2}^{\infty} S_{b\uparrow}, \ S_{\downarrow} = \bigcup_{b=2}^{\infty} S_{b\downarrow}.$$
  
Kristiansen conjectured that  $S_{\uparrow} = S_{\downarrow} = S_E.$ 

Let us denote 
$$S_{\uparrow} = \bigcup_{b=2}^{\infty} S_{b\uparrow}, \ S_{\downarrow} = \bigcup_{b=2}^{\infty} S_{b\downarrow}.$$
  
Kristiansen conjectured that  $S_{\uparrow} = S_{\downarrow} = S_{E}.$ 

#### Theorem

For any finite set of primes  $\{p_1, \ldots, p_k\}$  and prime p not belonging to the set there exists a real number  $\xi$ , such that  $\xi \in S_{p_1\uparrow} \cap \ldots \cap S_{p_k\uparrow}$  and  $\xi \notin S_{p\uparrow}$ .

Let us denote 
$$S_{\uparrow} = \bigcup_{b=2}^{\infty} S_{b\uparrow}, \ S_{\downarrow} = \bigcup_{b=2}^{\infty} S_{b\downarrow}.$$
  
Kristiansen conjectured that  $S_{\uparrow} = S_{\downarrow} = S_E.$ 

#### Theorem

For any finite set of primes  $\{p_1, \ldots, p_k\}$  and prime p not belonging to the set there exists a real number  $\xi$ , such that  $\xi \in S_{p_1\uparrow} \cap \ldots \cap S_{p_k\uparrow}$  and  $\xi \notin S_{p\uparrow}$ .

But actually the conjecture is false:

#### Theorem

There exist a real number  $\xi \in S_D$ , such that  $\xi \notin S_{\uparrow}$ .

# Bibliography

 Ivan Georgiev, Lars Kristiansen, Frank Stephan.
On general sum approximations of irrational numbers. *CiE 2018 Proceedings, Lectures Notes in Computer Science*, vol. 10936 (2018), 194–203.

#### Lars Kristiansen.

On subrecursive representability of irrational numbers. *Computability*, vol. 6(3) (2017), 249–276.

### Lars Kristiansen.

On subrecursive representability of irrational numbers, part II. *Computability*, vol. 8(1) (2019), 43–65.

# Thank you for your attention!

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>