

Conservative Extensions and the Jump of a Structure

Alexandra A. Soskova
joint work with
Ivan N. Soskov and Stefan V. Vatev

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science
Sofia University
Isaac Newton Institute for Mathematical Sciences
Semantics and Syntax: A Legacy of Alan Turing

May 30, 2012

- Degree spectra of structures
- Definability on structures
- Conservative (k, n) Extensions
- The Jump of a structure

Let $\mathfrak{A} = (A; P_1, \dots, P_k)$ be a denumerable structure. Enumeration of \mathfrak{A} is every one to one mapping of \mathbb{N} onto A .

Given an enumeration f of \mathfrak{A} and a subset of X of A^a , let

$$f^{-1}(X) = \{\langle x_1, \dots, x_a \rangle : (f(x_1), \dots, f(x_a)) \in X\}.$$

Set $f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A}) = f^{-1}(P_1) \oplus \dots \oplus f^{-1}(P_k) \oplus f^{-1}(=) \oplus f^{-1}(\neq)$.

Definition.[Richter] *The Degree Spectrum of \mathfrak{A}* is the set

$$DS(\mathfrak{A}) = \{d_T(f^{-1}(\mathfrak{A})) : f \text{ is an enumeration of } \mathfrak{A}\}.$$

Definition.[Knight] The n -th jump spectrum of a structure \mathfrak{A} is the set

$$DS_n(\mathfrak{A}) = \{\mathbf{a}^{(n)} \mid \mathbf{a} \in DS(\mathfrak{A})\}.$$

Proposition.[Knight] For every automorphically nontrivial structure \mathfrak{A} , $DS_n(\mathfrak{A})$ is an upwards closed set of degrees.

Theorem. [A., Soskov] Every first jump spectrum is a spectrum of a structure, i.e. for every countable structure \mathfrak{A} there is a structure \mathfrak{B} such that $DS_1(\mathfrak{A}) = DS(\mathfrak{B})$.

Theorem. [A., Soskov] Let \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{C} be countable structures and $DS(\mathfrak{A}) \subseteq DS_1(\mathfrak{C})$. There exists a structure \mathfrak{B} such that $DS(\mathfrak{A}) = DS_1(\mathfrak{B})$ and $DS(\mathfrak{B}) \subseteq DS(\mathfrak{C})$.

Computable Σ_n^c -formulas

The computable Σ_n^c formulas are defined inductively:

- A computable Σ_0^c (Π_0^c) formula is a finitary quantifier-free formula.
- A computable Σ_{n+1}^c formula $\Phi(\bar{x})$ is a disjunction of c.e. set of formulas of the form

$$(\exists \bar{Y})\Psi(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})$$

Ψ is a finite conjunction of Σ_n^c and Π_n^c formulas

- Π_{n+1}^c formulas are the negations of the Σ_{n+1}^c formulas.

Definition. A set $X \subseteq A$ is *formally Σ_n^c -definable on \mathfrak{A}* ($X \in \Sigma_n^c(\mathfrak{A})$) if there exists a computable Σ_n^c formula $\Phi(W_1, \dots, W_r, X)$ and elements t_1, \dots, t_r of A such that:

$$x \in X \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{A} \models \Phi(W_1/t_1, \dots, W_r/t_r, X/x).$$

Example

Consider $\mathcal{O} = (\mathbb{N}; =)$ and $\mathcal{S} = (\mathbb{N}; G_{\text{Succ}}; =)$, where G_{Succ} is the graph of the successor function.

$$DS(\mathcal{O}) = DS(\mathcal{S})$$

The $\Sigma_1^c(\mathcal{O})$ sets are all finite and co-finite sets of natural numbers.
But all c.e. set are formally Σ_1^c definable on \mathcal{S} .
So, the structure \mathcal{S} is more powerful than the \mathcal{O} .

Example

Consider $\mathcal{O} = (\mathbb{N}; =)$ and $\mathcal{S} = (\mathbb{N}; G_{Succ}; =)$, where G_{Succ} is the graph of the successor function.

$$DS(\mathcal{O}) = DS(\mathcal{S})$$

The $\Sigma_1^c(\mathcal{O})$ sets are all finite and co-finite sets of natural numbers.
But all c.e. set are formally Σ_1^c definable on \mathcal{S} .
So, the structure \mathcal{S} is more powerful than the \mathcal{O} .

Definition. The pair $\alpha = (f_\alpha, R_\alpha)$ is an *enumeration* of the set $X \subseteq A$, if R_α is a set of natural numbers, f_α is a partial one-to-one mapping of \mathbb{N} onto X and $\text{dom}(f_\alpha) = f_\alpha^{-1}(X)$ is c.e. in R_α . We denote this by $X \leq \alpha$.

Definition. The pair $\alpha = (f_\alpha, R_\alpha)$ is an *enumeration* of \mathfrak{A} if f_α is an enumeration of A and $f_\alpha^{-1}(\mathfrak{A})$ is computable in R_α . We denote this by $\mathfrak{A} \leq \alpha$.

Denote by $\alpha^{(n)} = (f_\alpha, R_\alpha^{(n)})$.

The Degree Spectrum of \mathfrak{A} is the set

$$DS(\mathfrak{A}) = \{d_T(R_\alpha) \mid \mathfrak{A} \leq \alpha\}.$$

Theorem. (Ash, Knight, Manasse, Slaman, Chisholm)
For every set $X \subseteq A$,

$$X \in \Sigma_{n+1}^c(\mathfrak{A}) \leftrightarrow (\forall \alpha)[\mathfrak{A} \leq \alpha \rightarrow X \leq \alpha^{(n)}].$$

Conservative (k, n) Extensions

Let $\alpha = (f_\alpha, R_\alpha)$ and $\beta = (f_\beta, R_\beta)$ be enumerations of the structures \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} respectively.

We write $\alpha \leq \beta$ if

- (i) $R_\alpha \leq_T R_\beta$ and
- (ii) the set

$$E(f_\alpha, f_\beta) = \{(x, y) \mid x \in \text{Dom}(f_\alpha) \ \& \ y \in \text{Dom}(f_\beta) \ \& \ f_\alpha(x) = f_\beta(y)\}.$$

is c.e. in R_β .

Conservative (k, n) Extensions

Definition. Let \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} be countable structures, possibly with different signatures and $A \subseteq B$.

- (i) $\mathfrak{A} \leq_n^k \mathfrak{B}$ iff for every enumeration β of \mathfrak{B} there exists an enumeration α of \mathfrak{A} such that $\alpha^{(k)} \leq \beta^{(n)}$.
- (ii) $\mathfrak{A} \geq_n^k \mathfrak{B}$ iff for every enumeration α of \mathfrak{A} there exists an enumeration β of \mathfrak{B} such that $\beta^{(n)} \leq \alpha^{(k)}$.
- (iii) $\mathfrak{A} \equiv_n^k \mathfrak{B}$ if $\mathfrak{A} \leq_n^k \mathfrak{B}$ and $\mathfrak{A} \geq_n^k \mathfrak{B}$. We shall say that \mathfrak{B} is a (k, n) -conservative extension of \mathfrak{A} .

Note that the relation \equiv_n^k is not symmetric.

Proposition. Let \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} be countable structures with $A \subseteq B$.

- (i) If $\mathfrak{A} \leq_n^k \mathfrak{B}$ then $DS_n(\mathfrak{B}) \subseteq DS_k(\mathfrak{A})$;
- (ii) If $\mathfrak{A} \geq_n^k \mathfrak{B}$ then $DS_k(\mathfrak{A}) \subseteq DS_n(\mathfrak{B})$;
- (iii) If $\mathfrak{A} \equiv_n^k \mathfrak{B}$ then $DS_k(\mathfrak{A}) = DS_n(\mathfrak{B})$;

Corollary.

- (i) $k = 1, n = 0$:
If $\mathfrak{A} \equiv_0^1 \mathfrak{B}$ then $DS_1(\mathfrak{A}) = DS(\mathfrak{B})$.
- (ii) $k = 0, n = 1$:
If $\mathfrak{A} \equiv_1^0 \mathfrak{B}$ then $DS(\mathfrak{A}) = DS_1(\mathfrak{B})$.

Theorem. Let for \mathfrak{A} and $\mathfrak{B} : A \subseteq B$. For all $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$,

- (i) if $\mathfrak{A} \leq_n^k \mathfrak{B}$ then $(\forall X \subseteq A)[X \in \Sigma_{k+1}^c(\mathfrak{A}) \rightarrow X \in \Sigma_{n+1}^c(\mathfrak{B})]$;
- (ii) if $\mathfrak{A} \geq_n^k \mathfrak{B}$ then $(\forall X \subseteq A)[X \in \Sigma_{n+1}^c(\mathfrak{B}) \rightarrow X \in \Sigma_{k+1}^c(\mathfrak{A})]$;
- (iii) if $\mathfrak{A} \equiv_n^k \mathfrak{B}$ then $(\forall X \subseteq A)[X \in \Sigma_{k+1}^c(\mathfrak{A}) \leftrightarrow X \in \Sigma_{n+1}^c(\mathfrak{B})]$.

The opposite direction is not always true:

Example.

Consider $\mathcal{O}_A = (A; =)$ and take $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{B} = \mathcal{O}_A$.

For every natural number n ,

$X \subseteq A$ is $\Sigma_n^c(\mathcal{O}_A)$ iff X is a finite or co-finite subset of A .

Therefore $\Sigma_1^c(\mathcal{O}_A) = \Sigma_n^c(\mathcal{O}_A)$ and

$$(\forall n)(\forall X \subseteq A)[X \in \Sigma_{n+1}^c(\mathcal{O}_A) \rightarrow X \in \Sigma_1^c(\mathcal{O}_A)].$$

But $(\forall n)[\mathcal{O}_A \leq_0^n \mathcal{O}_A]$ is evidently not true.

Moschovakis' extension

Let $\mathfrak{A} = (A; P_1, \dots, P_l)$ and $\bar{0} \notin A$.

Set $A_0 = A \cup \{\bar{0}\}$.

Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be a pairing function s.t. none of the elements of A is a pair.

Let A^* be the least set containing A_0 and closed under $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

The decoding functions: $L(\langle s, t \rangle) = s$ & $R(\langle s, t \rangle) = t$

Definition. *Moschovakis' extension* of \mathfrak{A} is the structure

$$\mathfrak{A}^* = (A^*, P_1, \dots, P_I, A_0, G_{\langle \dots \rangle}, G_L, G_R).$$

Proposition. $\mathfrak{A} \equiv_n^n \mathfrak{A}^*$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proposition. For every two structures $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}$ with $A \subseteq B$ and natural numbers n, k

$$\mathfrak{A} \equiv_n^k \mathfrak{B} \text{ iff } \mathfrak{A}^* \equiv_n^k \mathfrak{B}^*.$$

Conservative (k, n) Extensions and Definability

Theorem. [Vatev] Let \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} be countable structures with $A^* \subseteq B$ and $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

If $(\forall X \subseteq A^*) [X \in \Sigma_{k+1}^c(\mathfrak{A}^*) \rightarrow X \in \Sigma_{n+1}^c(\mathfrak{B})]$ then $\mathfrak{A} \leq_n^k \mathfrak{B}$.

Corollary. For any two countable structures $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}$ with $A \subseteq B$ and $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathfrak{A} \leq_n^k \mathfrak{B} \leftrightarrow (\forall X \subseteq A^*) [X \in \Sigma_{k+1}^c(\mathfrak{A}^*) \rightarrow X \in \Sigma_{n+1}^c(\mathfrak{B}^*)].$$

A new predicate $K_{\mathfrak{A}}$ (analogue of Kleene's set).

For $e, x \in \mathbb{N}$ and finite part τ , let

$$\tau \Vdash F_e(x) \leftrightarrow x \in W_e^{\tau^{-1}(\mathfrak{A})}.$$

$$\tau \Vdash \neg F_e(x) \leftrightarrow (\forall \rho \supseteq \tau)(\rho \not\Vdash F_e(x)).$$

$$K^{\mathfrak{A}} = \{\langle \delta, e, x \rangle : (\exists \tau \supseteq \delta)(\tau \Vdash F_e(x))\}.$$

Definition. The jump of a structure \mathfrak{A} is

$$\mathfrak{A}^{(1)} = (\mathfrak{A}^*, K^{\mathfrak{A}}).$$

Theorem. $DS_1(\mathfrak{A}) = DS(\mathfrak{A}^{(1)})$.

Proposition.

- (i) $\mathfrak{A} \equiv_0^1 \mathfrak{A}^{(1)}$;
- (ii) $\mathfrak{A} \not\equiv_0^0 \mathfrak{A}^{(1)}$.

Let $\mathfrak{A} = (A; P_1, \dots, P_k, =)$.

- Marker's \exists -extension of P_i (P_i^\exists):
- $X_i = \{x_{\langle a_1, \dots, a_{r_i} \rangle}^i \mid P_i(a_1, \dots, a_{r_i})\}$ (\exists -fellow for P_i).
- $P_i^\exists(a_1, \dots, a_{r_i}, x) \leftrightarrow$
 $a_1, \dots, a_{r_i} \in A \ \& \ x \in X_i \ \& \ x = x_{\langle a_1, \dots, a_{r_i} \rangle}^i$.
- $\mathfrak{A}^\exists = (A \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^k X_i, P_1^\exists, \dots, P_k^\exists, \bar{X}_1, \dots, \bar{X}_k, =)$.

- Marker's \forall -extension of P_i (P_i^\forall):
- $Y_i = \{y_{\langle a_1, \dots, a_{r_i} \rangle}^i \mid \neg P_i(a_1, \dots, a_{r_i})\}$ (\forall -fellow for P_i).
- If $P_i^\forall(a_1, \dots, a_{r_i}, y)$ then $a_1, \dots, a_{r_i} \in A$ and $y \in Y_i$;
- If $a_1, \dots, a_{r_i} \in A$ & $y \in Y_i$ then
 $\neg P_i^\forall(a_1, \dots, a_{r_i}, y) \leftrightarrow y = y_{\langle a_1, \dots, a_{r_i} \rangle}^i$.
- $\mathfrak{A}^\forall = (A \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^k Y_i, P_1^\forall, \dots, P_k^\forall, \bar{Y}_1, \dots, \bar{Y}_k, =)$.

The Jump Inversion Theorem

Theorem. Let \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{C} be countable structures and $DS(\mathfrak{A}) \subseteq DS_1(\mathfrak{C})$. There exists a structure $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{A}^{\exists\forall} \oplus \mathfrak{C}$ such that $DS(\mathfrak{A}) = DS_1(\mathfrak{B})$ and $DS(\mathfrak{B}) \subseteq DS(\mathfrak{C})$.

Remark. Similar results by:

Montalbán : a different approach, keeps the domain of the structure and adds a complete set of Π_n^c formulas.

Stukachev : for Σ reducibility

Stukachev proves an analogue of this theorem for the semilattices of Σ -degrees of structures with arbitrary cardinalities.

The Jump Inversion Theorem

Theorem. *If $\mathcal{O}_A \leq_0^1 \mathfrak{A}$, then $\mathfrak{A} \equiv_1^0 \mathfrak{A}^{\exists\forall}$.*

Remark. *Note that $\mathcal{O}_A \leq_0^k \mathfrak{A}$ iff the elements of $DS(\mathfrak{A})$ are above $\mathbf{0}^{(k)}$.*





Corollary. *If $\mathcal{O}_A \leq_0^k \mathfrak{A}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a structure \mathfrak{B} such that*

$$(\forall X \subseteq A)[X \in \Sigma_{n+1}^c(\mathfrak{A}) \leftrightarrow X \in \Sigma_{k+1}^c(\mathfrak{B})].$$

Some problems

- *The definition of $\mathfrak{A} \equiv_n^k \mathfrak{B}$ is not symmetric since we suppose that $A \subseteq B$. How to define the similar relation more symmetric and for arbitrary \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{B} ?*
- *How to relativize the Jump Inversion Theorem for structures?*
- *The Jump inversion Theorem for structures for arbitrary constructive ordinal α .*

Thank you!

-  Ash, C., Knight, J., Manasse, M., Slaman, T.:
Generic Copies of Countable Structures,
Annals of Pure and Applied Logic, vol. 42 (1989), pp. 195 – 205
-  Chisholm, J.:
Effective Model Theory vs. Recursive Model Theory,
The Journal of Symbolic Logic, vol. 55, No. 3 (1990), pp. 1168 – 1191
-  Soskova, A., Soskov, I.:
A Jump Inversion Theorem for the Degree Spectra,
Journal of Logic and Computation, vol. 19, 199–215 (2009)
-  Vatev, S. :
Conservative Extensions of Abstract Structures,
to appear in LNCS.